#	Issue	CLEC(s)	POR Category	Current Status	SBC Response:
d	functionalities in the Amaretech region on 1/1/01, consistent with the Change Management Process and other timelines described at p. 33 of the AT&T-redlined POR? a. full refresh supplemental orders; b. fully-parsed CSRs and synchronized data elements for integrated preordering and ordering; c. single-order capability including directory listings for all LSRs (UNE as well as resale); d. complex service order completions and fielded completion notices; e. implement SBC "best practices" UNE-L hot cut methods and procedures; implement SBC "best practices" flow-through – including a complete description of factors affecting electronic flow-through, including the kinds of orders Ameritech systems are designed to flow-through.				5/18 – AT&T wishes to add language that follows into Development Timeline section of FMO. Parties agree to change status to DO for agree to disagree. "To bring the Ameritech service area pre-ordering and ordering capabilities to a level of comparability with those in the SWBT and PB/NB regions, SBC/Ameritech will implement a release that "catches up" with the capabilities in the other regions. These functionality additions in the Ameritech region would allow CLECs in the region to operate with similar access to OSS that SBC has made over the past several years, during which time Ameritech did not make improvements to its OSS to implement industry standards or take advantage of technological innovations. SBC/Ameritech will issue final business rules and EDI specifications 6 months prior to SBC/Ameritech's implementation date of an LSOG upgrade. CLECs will have a 2-month testing window to ensure adequate preparation of test cases, test result analysis and potential fixes. SBC/Ameritech will implement the LSOG upgrade no later than 6 months after CLEC testing. In addition, CLECs will be given sufficient lead-time to prepare for changes affecting the interfaces between SBC/Ameritech and the CLECs. Changes will be categorized and scheduled according to the magnitude of the change. The range of factors which must be considered in determining the priority and release date should include but not be limited to the degree of complexity, degree of impact to systems, business and system need for the change and the regulatory and merger time commitments. Versioning will be governed by the Versioning section of the PORCMP. The followings steps will occur, pursuant to either the following time periods or the time periods established pursuant to the CMP process, whichever time period is greater.

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	POR	Current	SBC Response:
				1	 SBC/Ameritech will distribute the Change Request 4 months prior to release
					implementation. 2. SBC/Ameritech and the CLECs will meet to
					establish a common understanding of the changes 3.5 months prior to release. 3. SBC/Ameritech will solicit feedback from the
					•
					A testing prior of at least 30 days will occur prior to release."
237 e	2. If SBC/Ameritech is unwilling to implement any of the following functionalities in the Ameritech region on 1/1/01, consistent with the Change Management Process and other timelines described at p. 33 of the AT&T-redlined POR, please indicate the earliest date on which SBC/Ameritech would be willing to do so.	AT&T	Misc.	DO	Under investigation 5/18 AT&T requests that the status be changed from TA to DO
	 a. full refresh supplemental orders; b. fully-parsed CSRs and synchronized data elements for integrated preordering and ordering; c. single-order capability including directory listings for all orders (UNE as well as resale); d. complex service order completions and fielded completion 				
	notices; e. implement SBC "best practices" UNE-L hot cut methods and procedures; implement SBC "best practices" flow-through – including a complete description of factors affecting electronic flow-through, including the kinds of orders Ameritech systems are designed to flow-through.				
237 f	3. Is SBC/Ameritech willing to implement an integrated preordering/ordering GUI interface within the SWBT, SNET and the PB/NB regions on 1/1/01, consistent with the Change	АТ&Т	Misc.	8	Under investigation 5/18 – AT&T's proposed language under the FMO – Ordering section for GUIs:
	Management Process and other timelines described at p. 33 or the AT&T-redlined POR? If not, please indicate the earliest date on which SBC/Ameritech would be willing to do so.				"All SBC/Ameritech service areas will implement a uniform GUI to access ordering functions that is fully integrated with the uniform GUI preorder interface described in Section III(B) above"

	245 (2 ⁴	an Ct	244 AT inf SB	243 CL dat rec ma	242 Ne and rec pai	241 Ne info	240 Dat sup	# Iss
(58) CLECs require the ability to acquire the NC/NCI assignments for	(245) Need for two ways to acquire a NC/NCI information from SBC databases and to provide the NC/NCI on the CSR.	SBC cannot agree to provide this information on accounts owned by another CLEC.	AT&T requests that CLECs be able to retrieve directory listing information for accounts belonging to the requesting CLEC or to SBC/Ameritech retail units, as well as for those owned by another	CLECs request that SBC/Ameritech update its databases with actual data within 24 hours of completion of manual loop qualification request. SBC/Ameritech would like to update this data following a manual loop qualification request within 4 business days.	Need for two ways to acquire a CFA information from SBC databases and to provide the CFA on the CSR. The existing CFA Inquiry must be redesigned to provide the status on all circuits associated with a particular tie cable, so as to be more useful to CLECs	Need for two ways to acquire a CLLI via TN or CKTID and that the CLLI information be added to the CSR.	DataGate will continue to be made available and will be modified to support any enhancements made to either EDI/CORBA or the GUI.	Issue
	AT&T		АТ&Т	AT&T	АТ&Т	АТ&Т	AT&T	CLEC(s)
	FMO – Preorder		FMO – Preorder	FMO – Preorder	FMO – Preorder	FMO – Preorder	FMO – Preorder	POR Category
	DO		DO	8	DO	DO	DO	Current Status
"The NC/NCI Inquiry will be a separate one and will be provided on the CSR outputs."	5/18 - New issue to clarify AT&T language provided 4/10. AT&T proposes following language in FMO Preorder:	"CLECs will be able to retrieve directory listing information for accounts belonging to the requesting CLEC or to SBC/Ameritech retail units, as well as for those owned by another CLEC."	5/18 – New issue to clarify that timeframes differ between parties, from the AT&T language provided on 4/10. AT&T's proposed language insertion is:	5/18 – New issue to clarify that timeframes differ between parties, from the AT&T language provided on 4/10.	5/18 – New issue to clarify AT&T language provided 4/10. AT&T proposes following language in FMO Preorder: "The CFA Inquiry will be a separate one and will be provided on the CSR outputs. This Inquiry will be redesigned to provide the status on all circuits associated with a particular tie cable, so as to be more useful to CLECs."	5/18 – New issue to clarify AT&T language provided 4/10. AT&T proposes following language in FMO Preorder: "The CLLI Inquiry will be a separate one so that users can simply enter a Telephone Number or a circuit ID and obtain the CLLI associated with the serving office and equipment. CLLI will also be added to the CSR so that a separate query is not always necessary."	5/18 – New issue to clarify AT&T concern with item #44. AT&T proposes following language in FMO Preorder: "Additionally, DataGate will continue to be made available and will be modified to support any enhancements made to either EDI/CORBA or the GUI."	SBC Response: 5/18 - Change to DO.

					250		249		246	#
(206) When will SBC produce CORBA documentation for use by the SWBT/PB/SNET region-CLECs that want to develop a CORBA preordering interface? The Plan of Record indicates the interface will be available in June 2001 in those regions.	(205) When will SBC provide uniform Web GUI user guides for the preordering GUI? The Plan of Record does not indicate when the user guides will be available for CLECs.	(204) When will SBC produce CORBA documentation for use by Ameritech region-CLECs that want to develop a CORBA pre-ordering interface? The Plan of Record indicates the interface will be available in March 2001, but makes no mention of the specifications.	timelines (from initial release announcements to changes to final requirements announcements).	(12) The timeline should be identified as the Development and	(250) Implementation Phase Work Schedule timeline in Section III(I) of POR.	"the Federal Communications Commission, or a federal court, at the option of the Party bringing the action. If a Party seeks to enforce a decision of the Arbitration Panel before a federal court, it shall bring the action before the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia."	CLECs request that the following language be added to the ACDR Process section regarding actions to enforce the arbitration panel's decision.	Common platform components, and regional legacy systems will be adapted to ensure that the ordering and provisioning in of such UNE-P services are in compliance with the terms and conditions set forth in the Merger Conditions, UNE Remand Order, and Section 251 of the Act."		Issue
					CLECs		CLECs		Birch	CLEC(s)
				111(1)	FMO - Section	process	FMO – ACDR	Order	FMO -	POR Category
					DO		DO		DO	Current Status
			5/19 - All timeline issues are now captured by global issue 250. Parties agree to change status of 12, 204, 205, 206, 209 210 216 to CD and point to issue 250 as DO	and made status DO.	5/19 – Parties were unable to agree on a deployment schedule for the App to App and GUI preorder and order interfaces including documentation timelines. Parties agree to disagree		5/19 — Parties agree to disagree not for arbitration, but to further address with their attorneys.	Product Ordering" section for: "With regard to loop with port combination the uniform application to application and GUI interfaces, as well as OSS Common platform components, and regional legacy systems will be adapted to ensure that the ordering and provisioning in of such UNE-P services are in compliance with the terms and conditions set forth in the Merger Conditions, FCC regulations, and Section 251 of the Act." Parties agree to disagree and mark as DO.	5/18 - Birch proposed language for FMO Ordering in "Uniform	SBC Response:

1 _4	91	59	251		#
OSS functionality includes not only electronic interfaces like application to application and graphical user interfaces but also many other processes that must be included in this POR because these processes are part of the current SBC/Ameritech OSS interfaces. This document must address processes associated with OSS, i.e. manual processes. Manual processes have a huge impact on CLECs, even those CLECs	This section must include a description about ordering ASR products (which include, for example, Local Interconnection Trunks, Local Interconnection Facilities, Operator Assistance/Directory Assistance Trunks, Unbundled Dedicated Transport). ASR ordering is discussed in the PMO and the FMO should be enhanced to describe what applications will be available for ASR ordering.	CLECs require data element synchronization for integrating pre-order and order information.	AT&T requests the addition of the following language to the FMO Provisioning Section: "SBC/Ameritech will implement the fielded order completion standard as established by ATIS."	(209) When will Ameritech billing documentation be made to conform to SBC wholesale billing documentation? (210) When will the SBC Usage Extract Guide be made available? (216) A detailed milestone timeline including the development timelines for each release should be included in the final POR.	Issue
MCIW	MCIW	AT&T	АТ&Т		CLEC(s)
Overview- Scope	FMO - 0	FMO-PO	FMO – Provisionin g		POR Category
TA	Ю	DO	DO		Current Status
SBC's proposal provides the level of detail that includes manual touchpoints associated with orders received electronically. 5/15 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	Under Investigation. 5/9 - Technically, this item does not fall under the guidelines for addressing as part of the merger conditions. It is SBC's desire to bring uniformity across all regions for products ordered via the ASR based closely on the OBF guidelines for similar type trunks. 5/19 - FMO for ordering DS1 loops is the LSR. Parties agree to disagree. Change status to DO.	Do not agree. 4/26 - Based AT&T's clarification in collaborative this issue would be same as #49. 5/9 - redline language provided in POR sent out 5/8. 5/19 - Temporary change to AIR from TA. SBC to determine if synchronization applies directly to GUIs and if so what is timing of synchronization. 5/19 - SBC cannot yet determine whether synchronization can be done at same time for preorder and order. Parties agree to change to DO.	5/19 - SBC unable to agree with the requested language. Parties agree to make status DO.		SBC Response:

108	107 F	106 [105 [104	8 00	103	102 [101 F	100 V	S C	#
Version (VER) data standard in LSR form is 2A/N Ameritech format is 2N. Needs to be conformed to ATIS standard	RESNUM field in LSR form only used in Ameritech region why is this data required?	Describe the use that SBC makes of the RPON (Related Purchase Order Number) field in processing UNE- L LSRs.	Describe the use that SBC makes of the RPON (Related Purchase Order Number) field in processing UNE- P LSRs.	Provide a listing of UNE-L order and service types that do not flow-through the SBC systems on a fully electronic basis. Under what conditions does a UNE-L order fall to manual processing?	(92) Additional information must be included under the "Uniform Ordering Message Flow" to provide detailed descriptions of how Listings and/or E-911 information will be provided in each region.	(103) Describe any region-specific ordering differences for updating the E-911 database.	Describe any region-specific ordering differences for updating the Line Information Data Base (LIDB).	Provide a listing of UNE-P order and service types that do not flow-through the SBC systems on a fully electronic basis. Under what conditions does a UNE-P order fall to manual processing?	What order processing steps require manual interactions on the part of SBC representatives to process LSRs for UNE-P?	using electronic interfaces. A clear understanding of what happens while CLEC transactions are processed is critical.	Issue
AT&T	АТ&Т	AT&T	AT&T	АТ&Т	MCIW	АТ&Т	AT&T	АТ&Т	АТ&Т		CLEC(s)
FMO - 0	FMO – 0	FMO - 0	FMO - 0	FMO – 0		FMO 0	FMO 0	FMO - O	FMO - O		POR Category
TA	TA	TA	TA	TA		TA	TA	TA	TΑ		Current Status
This will be addressed as part of the uniform OSS Business Rules POR. 5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	The RESNUM field is used to validate that the customer has reserved the requested due date. This field is only required when the customer has reserved the due date in advance. 5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	SBC will provide the details of current flow through and manual intervention and the subjects can be discussed. 5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.		5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	SBC will provide the details of current flow through and manual intervention and the subjects can be discussed. 5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	SBC will provide the details of current flow through and manual intervention and the subjects can be discussed. 5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.		SBC Response:

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	POR Category	Current Status	SBC Response:
109	EXPEDITE REASON CLECs require Ameritech business rules that define the allowable reason types and codes that are to be provided in LSR form	АТ&Т	FMO - 0	TA	5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.
110	INIT FAX NO data characteristics not defined in LSR form	AT&T	FMO - 0	TA	Data characteristics provided as part of latest LSOG 4 requirements.
					5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.
111	STREET (INIT) data characteristics not defined in LSR form	AT&T	FMO 0	TA	Data characteristics provided as part of latest LSOG 4 requirements.
					5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.
112	FLOOR (INIT) field data characteristics not defined in LSR form	АТ&Т	FMO - 0	TA	Data characteristics provided as part of latest LSOG 4 requirements.
					5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.
113	ROOM/MAILSTOP (INIT) data characteristics not defined in LSR form	АТ&Т	FMO - 0	TΑ	Data characteristics provided as part of latest LSOG 4 requirements.
					5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.
114	CITY (INIT) data characteristics not defined in LSR form	AT&T	FMO - 0	TΑ	Data characteristics provided as part of latest LSOG 4 requirements.
					5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.
115	STATE (INIT) data characteristics not defined in LSR form	АТ&Т	FMO - 0	TA	Data characteristics provided as part of latest LSOG 4 requirements.
					5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.
116	ZIP (INIT) data characteristics not defined in LSR form	АТ&Т	FMO - 0	AT	Data characteristics provided as part of latest LSOG 4 requirements.
					5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.
117	PAGER (IMPCON) data characteristics not defined in LSR form	АТ&Т	FMO - 0	AT	Data characteristics provided as part of latest LSOG 4 requirements.
					5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.

#		CLEC(s)	~	Current Status	SBC Response:
118	DRC field PWK data element is not defined for the DRC value in LSR form	АТ&Т	m	FMO - 0	
119	RETAIN CURRENT LISTING field non compliant with OBF LSOG 4. AIT indicates field is not valid for Number Portability field is listed on Number Portability Form resolve inconsistency in LSR form	AT&T		FMO – 0	-MO – O TA
120	REMARKS on LS and LSNP forms, N903 qualified is defined as LS. Qualifier should be LSR in LSR form	AT&T		FMO – O	FMO – O TA
121	RESNUM field is defined as 16 A/N. Standard is 22A/N resolve inconsistency and conform to standard. in LSR form	AT&T		FMO - 0	FMO – O TA
122	s in LSR form	АТ&Т		FMO - 0	FMO - O TA
123	does not conform to 150G	AT&T		FMO - O	
123	FLOOR in Service Address in LSR form does not conform to LSOG standard of 4A/N AIT defines as 3 A/N	AT&T		FMO - 0	FMO – O TA
124	CITY field in LSR form data should be defined as 35 A/N and not 25 A/N per Ameritech design	AT&T		FMO - 0	FMO – O TA
126	FLOOR (Bill Name and Address) should be provided in LSOG standard format 4A/N and not 3A/N	АТ&Т		FMO - 0	FMO - O TA
127	CHANGE ORDER SEQUENCE NUMBER in 855/865 transaction not yet deleted in these transactions	АТ&Т		FMO 0	FMO - O TA
128) take to improve flow-through rates	AT&T		FMO - 0	FMO – O TA
129	What steps is Ameritech going to take to improve its flow through of	AT&T		FMO - 0	FMO – O TA

142	141	140	139		138	137	136	135				134 C	#
 Resale orders are processed with the LSR, but not UNE 	 No confirmation is returned that the Directory request has been processed 	 Directory Assistance is dropped for several days in the process 	 no coordination with the Unbundled Loop and Number Portability is available 	Assistance/Listings. The Future Plan states this process will not change under the POR. This process should be addressed to overcome the following issues. • the interface to date is not based on Industry Standards	Directory request ordered with UNE service in Ameritech Processing a Directory request is much different in Ameritech than in the other regions. A CLEC processing UNE orders must develop/test/maintain a processing that the country is the formula of the country in the formula of the country in the formula of the country is the formula of the country	What are the processes that are involved in UNE-L orders that cause separate CLEC directory listing orders to be necessary?	What will SBC do to conform its business rules concerning orders for directory listings associated with unbundled network elements?	Describe any region-specific ordering differences for updating the directory listing databases that support SBC directory assistance.			_	(134c) In addition, there continues to be reference to the Unsolicited 865 usage "to advise CLECs of customer impacting provider initiated	Issue
Allegiance	Allegiance Telecom	Allegiance Telecom	Allegiance Telecom		Allegiance Telecom	AT&T	АТ&Т	АТ&Т				Corecomm	CLEC(s)
FMO - 0 -	FMO – O – DA Listings	FMO O DA Listings	FMO - 0 - DA Listings	`	FMO – O – DA Listings	FMO - O - DA Listings	FMO O DA Listings	FMO O DA Listings			·	FMO - O	POR Category
TA	TA	TA	TA		TA	TA	TA	TA				TΑ	Current Status
5/19 - Item has been marked as TA in that data provided in	5/19 – Item has been marked as TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	5/19 – Item has been marked as TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	5/19 – Item has been marked as TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.		5/19 – Item has been marked as TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	31 was closed. 5/18 – Parties agreed to change to TA - Open so SBC and CLECs can collaboratively decide whether unsolicited data is better received mechanically or manually.	5/5 – Agreed duplicate with 31.	part of the service center. Performance Measures are not included in this plan.	There are several reasons that an unsolicited message is returned and not all (if any) are associated with errors on the	SBC Response:

migra	149 Descril	148 Amerit and ex What a unsolic	146 In the se input and extent all backend this POR.		146 Posted Orde only being a systems tha any others?	145 Allegiand same as the LSR.	143		# Issue
Describe the loop bot out Eremo Duo Date procedures that SRC uses in	Describe the methods SBC employs to process migration orders involving UNE-P where the main line of a multi-line account is being migrated, but the secondary lines are to remain with SBC.	Ameritech's unsolicited 865 transaction causes CLECs unnecessary time and expense to trace and review Ameritech order handling errors. What actions will Ameritech take to cease generating the unsolicited 865s?	In the section discussing Provisioning Order Status, it states that the input and output fields for this function will be made uniform to the extent allowed by the service order data available in the source backend OSS. An explanation of the limitations must be included in this POR.		Posted Order Status is listed in the Provisioning functionality section as only being available in the SWBT region. SBC must specify any systems that will remain available only in certain regions. Are there any others?	Allegiance Telecom requests that Directory request be processed the same as in the SWB and PacBell regions where a DSR can be sent with the LSR.	No helpdesk/web-site support structure available for this interface		
AT&T	AT&T	АТ&Т	MCIW		MCIW	Allegiance Telecom	Allegiance Telecom	Telecom	CLEC(s)
FMO-Prov	FMO-Prov	FMO-Prov	FMO-Prov		FMO-Prov	FMO – O – DA Listings	FMO – O – DA Listings	DA Listings	POR Category
AŢ	TA	TA	ТА		TA	TA	TA		Current
5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-	5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	It is anticipated that there will always be reasons for an unsolicited message to be sent. The appropriate data will be included, i.e. PON, that will allow the CLEC to associate the response to the appropriate request. 5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	the post date is only available in the SWBT region. There are no plans to enhance this functionality across the 13 state region. 5/16 Parties agree to change to TA. This issue is covered by the table added to the Provisioning FMO table	5/5 – Error in POR need to switch reference between Posted Order Status and Provisioning Order Status. (POR - Sec D Provisioning) Provisioning) 5/9 – There is an order status transaction supported in the Preordering interface (EDI) for AIT, and this will remain available in this region. The functionality to view status after available in this region.	5/19 — Item has been marked as TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	5/19 – Item has been marked as TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	SBC Response:

174	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ı	154 C	154 b	154 a	154	153	152	151	#
(174) Any non-standard billing records that will be used in the different		interface; however it will continue to be available in the SWBT service area since it already exists. CLECs need this status so that they can accurately assess the start bill dates.	Posted Order Status – The POR states that since this is not available to Retail they will not incorporate it into the FMO for the uniform	Service Order Completion – Again, the POR contains no indication of timing of transaction distribution. How soon after provisioning will the CLEC receive an 865 completion?	III. D. Provisioning Jeopardy Notification – The POR contains no information concerning the timing of transaction distribution. SBC/Ameritech should indicate how soon the CLEC will be notified – (e.g., the day the appointment is missed or prior to the appointment being missed, etc.)	What processes are used to generate electronic confirmation notices for orders received manually (via fax)?	What are the "end-to-end" order processing steps that Ameritech takes to process UNE-L orders?	What are the "end-to-end" order-processing steps that Ameritech takes to process CLEC UNE-P orders in its work centers and systems.	Describe the pre-cutover testing processes that SBC uses in each of its regions.	Issue
MCIW			Corecomm	Corecomm	Corecomm	AT&T	АТ&Т	AT&T	АТ&Т	CLEC(s)
FMO-			FMO-Prov	FMO-Prov	FMO-Prov	FMO-Prov	FMO-Prov	FMO-Prov	FMO-Prov	POR Category
TA			TA	ТА	TA	TA	TA	TA	TA	Current Status
CLOSED - Moved to #34.	5/18 – Parties believe this was discussed and closed during Collab. Will need to confirm with Corecomm on Friday. 5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue. TA pending completion of Table in Provisioning section.	was left off of AIT PMO (POR pg 40). 5/9 – There is an order status transaction supported in the Preordering interface (EDI) for AIT, and this will remain available in this region. The functionality to view status <u>after the post date</u> is only available in the SWBT region. There are no plans to enhance this functionality across the 13 state region.	See Issue #146 5/5 – Corecomm clarified that Posted Order Status function	Nothing in this plan is altering the timing of this transaction or any measure associated with it.	Nothing in this plan is altering the timing of this transaction or any measure associated with it.	Same as #98 5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	SBC will provide the details of PMO / FMO flow through and manual intervention and the subjects can be discussed. 5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	SBC will provide the details of PMO / FMO flow through and manual intervention and the subjects can be discussed. 5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	SBC Response:

215	2	196	181	177		#
SBC/Ameritech must include a description of what OSS training courses or workshops will be available. Training descriptions and how the CLEC	It is Birch's understanding the SBC is approaching integration and uniformity within its expanded operating territory by choosing "best of breed". The POR should provide greater insight into which "best" platform or functionality SBC will use with regard to implementing a uniform and enhanced OSS.	FMO Section III.G. (Connectivity) SBC states that it will build a Remote Access Facility ("RAF") in each of its four regions (SWBT, Pacific/Nevada, Ameritech, SNET) for CLEC dedicated access to application-to-application interfaces and GUIs. Such design is inefficient and costly, because CLECs will be required to obtain dedicated circuits in each region from the RAF to their point of interconnection. Rather, SBC should transport data from all four regions to a single, common RAF from which CLECs may access interfaces and GUIs, regardless of the location of the CLECs' operations.	Describe the services that will continue to be billed via AEBS and not via CABS.	Provide the business rules that SBC applies for creation of Billing Account Numbers (BAN) for each region.	service areas must be listed in the OSS FMO. In order for both the PMO and the FMO to be accurate, SBC/Ameritech must define how these records will be handled in the future.	Issue
MCIW	Birch Telecom	Rhythms	AT&T	AT&T		CLEC(s)
Training	Overview- Scope	FMO- Connectivi ty	FMO- Billing	PMO/FMO- (formally billing) Ordering	Billing	POR Category
TA	TA	TA	TA	Ā		Current Status
Descriptions and scheduled dates for all CLEC Education courses are found in the Customer Education section of CLEC	During SBC's discussion of detailed attributes of PMO and FMO this will be covered by the SBC proposal. 5/15 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	This topic is still under investigation. 5/11 <u>Issue 1</u> Where and how we are going to return info on the request. <u>Issue 2</u> - Regional identifier, such as state code or other required field 5/16 Parties agreed to change to TA 5/18 – SBC needs to resolve issue 1 above. Issue 2 as TA.	Requirement understood clarification to be added to POR. 4/27 - Parties agree to update language in POR. ** CLOSED ** 5/5 - Change to PL. 5/11 adding footnote. Issue still open to Tim to determine if PMO table can be duplicated into FMO section. 5/19 - SBC added a billing table in POR language. Status changed to TA.	5/11 Will be covered in the ordering PMO/FMO 5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	5/5-MCI agreed to move to #34 if PMO & FMO are addressed in #34. 5/11 Still open see #34 5/16 Parties agreed to change to change to TA. Related to #34. 5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	SBC Response:

will be notified of available classes must also be included. During the xDSL POR collaboratives, any discussions of Verigate, Lex,	s must also be included.			Contract	Online. CLEC Education courses are delivered in a "Train-the-Trainer" format, wherein participants receive master copies of the student text and instructor guides, and will then train other employees in their organization. 5/16 Parties agreed to change to TA. Issue is class size, training expense, lead time for training prior to GUI rollout. 5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.
During the xDSL POR collaborativ					5/16 Parties agreed to change to TA. Issue is clas training expense, lead time for training prior to GL 5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.
During the xDSL POR collaborativ					5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.
During the xDSL POR collaborative					
WebGui, manual, etc. were considered "out of scope" by SBC, and CLECs were told those issues would be addressed in the Plan of Re	WebGui, manual, etc. were considered "out of scope" by SBC, and CLECs were told those issues would be addressed in the Plan of Record	MCIW	xDSL	TA	Will incorporate language similar to the Advanced Services POR which cross references GUI changes along with App to App changes related to Advanced Services.
for Uniform and Enhanced OSS. xDSI in the PMO and EMO of this	for Uniform and Enhanced OSS. The two small paragraphs referencing xDSI in the PMO and EMO of this PDD inadequately covers the level of				5/9 - redline language provided in POR sent out 5/8
detail necessary as shown for example in the xDSL POR.	imple in the xDSL POR.				5/18 – CLECs agree with additional language, but would like the issue to be changed to TA. The data to be provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.
(224) Additional detail should be provided for all OSS functions	provided for all OSS functions	MCIW	xDSL	TA	Under investigation
including pre-order, order, provisi billing.	including pre-order, order, provisioning, maintenance and repair, and billing.				5/9 – Insert following language in FMO Maint/Repair Sec III.B after second para.:
(220) Any reference to xDSL (Digital form) is varied and only related to	(220) Any reference to xDSL (Digital Subscriber Loop) in the PMO and				"Both the App to App and GUI interfaces will support all
(221) The OSS Plan of Record for Pre-Ordering and Ordering of	(221) The OSS Plan of Record for Pre-Ordering and Ordering of xDSL				trouble administration functions for all services including XDSL. However, Mechanized Loop Testing (MLT) is restricted to POTS services only. XDSI loops are not MLT testable."
and Other Advanced Services (xDSL POR) focused solely on the development of enhancements to DataGate and EDI for Pre-Orr	and Other Advanced Services (xDSL POR) focused solely on the				5/16 Parties agreed to change to PCA
and EDI for Ordering.					5/18 - Parties agree to CD issue 220 & 221 and to merge with Issue 224. Parties agree issue 224 should be DO/TA.
					5/19 - Parties agree to split issues back out since each issue, although related have different status. Change 224 to TA.
					220 to DO and 221 to CA. Parties agree.
What differences in legacy system (and/or database) information be present in Loop Pre-Oualification query responses in the SBC	What differences in legacy system (and/or database) information will be present in Loop Pre-Oualification guery responses in the SBC	AT&T	xDSL	TA	5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.
regions?				!	
What specific changes are to be made to the DSL Loop Qualification query/response transactions in 2000? What Change Management Notification will be made?	What specific changes are to be made to the DSL Loop Qualification query/response transactions in 2000? What Change Management Notification will be made?	AT&T	xDSL	TA	Documentation on most releases has been distributed and additional information is likely. 5/19 – Parties agree to change status to TA.

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	POR Category	Current Status	SBC Response:
232	Enhanced Order Status Functionality	Rhythms	xDSL	AT	This issue is under active consideration by the FCC in the
	During the Advanced Services POR, CLECs requested access to order status capabilities that are the same as capabilities offered to CLECs in the Pacific Bell region through the Provisioning Order Status ("POS") system. The FCC indicated in a letter dated February 24, 2000 that POS should be addressed in this POR. Although SBC states that POS will be available in all SBC/Ameritech service areas, it does not provide any details regarding what interfaces and GUIs CLECs may use to access POS. SBC also provides no details on when POS will be available in each region, and more troubling, indicates that the input and output fields "will be made uniform to the extent allowed by the service order data available in the source backend OSS." The objective of this POR, of course, is uniformity. Therefore, such vague disclaimers are not acceptable. SBC should explain precisely how POS may differ among the four regions, and commit to bring the function into uniformity as quickly as possible.				context or the Advanced Services PCR. To raise these same issues in the U&E OSS POR would not serve any purpose. When the FCC reaches a decision, we will, if appropriate, revisit these issues in the proper forum. 5/16 Parties agreed to change to TA
מבר	Line Sharing	Dhuthma	SDC:	Τ,	This issue is under patient openition but the TOO is the
7	CLECs at the Advanced Services OSS requested information regarding all OSS capabilities, functions and features necessary for pre-ordering, ordering and provisioning xDSL in a line sharing arrangement. SBC did not provide detailed information then or in this POR. SBC should explain this issue in detail in this POR.	Nijamo) C	5	context of the Advanced Services POR. To raise these same issues in the U&E OSS POR would not serve any purpose. When the FCC reaches a decision, we will, if appropriate, revisit these issues in the proper forum. 5/16 Parties agreed to change to TA
237 a	Line sharing issue – Need to add a tracking table in the ordering process which updates will come from CLECs. This is a CLEC request to prevent CLECs from having to do massive changes to their systems for	Rhythms	Misc.	TA	Requirements for Line Sharing have been reviewed in walkthrus and will be implemented 5/27. Should any changes be required as a result of trials, arbitrations, or other
	some PRE industry standard data that SBC has asked CLECs to maintain and follow this SBC defined format. CLECs prefer SBC develop a table.				proceedings, they will be handled in future releases and announced through the CMP. 5/16 Parties agreed to change to TA
238	Which regions will 10 digit trigger for AIN and will this be made uniform in the POR?	Birch?	Misc.	TA	It is not our intent to require TDT (Ten Digit Trigger). We are continuing to investigate this issue to ensure that this will be appropriate for all switch types.
					5/9 - Currently TDT is not a required entry in any of the four regions. This will continue in the uniform environment. This will be documented in the uniform business rules. 5/18 Use of this field appears to be uniform at this time.

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	POR	Current	SBC Response:
					Parties participating believe this is TA. Pending feedback from Birch (who we believe initiated this issue in an early collab.)
					5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.
248		AT&T	FMO – Billing	TA	5/18 - AT&T to check with Client to see how critical this issue is.
	format.				5/19 – AT&T would like to discuss this in the future. Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.
29	Manual processing methods must be identified.	MCIW	PMO - 0	Ā	Manual processes will be addressed in the regional CLEC User Forums (CUF). The SWBT CUF meetings have begun. The
					first Ameritech CUF meeting is scheduled for 5/18/00 and the CUF meetings in the Pacific/Nevada and SNET regions will begin by the end of the 2 nd Quarter.
					5/9 – redline language provided in POR sent out 5/8.
					5/10 Language added. We have a disagreement on one of the statements
					5/15 The above note was incorrect the issue stays as TA
ω	The Merger Condition documents calls for SBC to develop common business rules applicable to CLEC's requests for local service. Such	Birch Telecom	Overview- Scope	ATA	During SBC's discussion of detailed attributes of PMO and FMO this will be covered by the SBC proposal.
	business rules and processes are completing missing from the POR.				5/15 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.
37	The matrix on page 26 is not inclusive of all local billing types. DA	MCIW	PMO-	TA	Under investigation
	listings, mutual compensation, local interconnection, LIDB and any other missing categories of billing types must be included in the list.		Billing		5/16 Changed to TA to add additional miscellaneous billing data to the table as well as collocation billing.
43	(FMO Section III.A — Development Timeline)	Rhythms	FMO-	AT	SBC will follow the timelines in all regions per the current
	SBC provides only a general description of the deployment approach it intends to follow without offering any specific detail or commitments		Developm		distributed at Collaborative session.
	regarding release dates for interfaces and GUIs. SBC should provide		ent		5/11 Deferred as CMP issue
	firm dates for deployment of its OSS interface and GUI enhancements,		illellie		5/19 - Rhythms request this issue to stay open and change to TA. Based on collaborative discussion on GUI functionality
	SBC has agreed in the Advanced Services POR. Further, SBC states that for service areas in which a uniform GUI will be an initial				coming in March 2001, Rhythms feels this may not address their concerns.
	introduction, "a special CLEC meeting will be held" to explain the GUI. Such vague statements are insufficient to enable CLECs to plan for new				Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	POR	Current	SBC Response:
	(194) In the SBC POR, it advises that it has established "process for evaluating the ordering requirements of its various existing interfaces and the appropriate industry standards and guidelines". What are these processes?				
54	(54) Ameritech is required to provide corrected specifications for NC/NCI query/response	AT&T	FMO-PO	TA	This is addressed and documented in ESOG Section 4 update to TCNet on April 24, 2000.
			, ·		4/26 - AT&T to review SBC's response with AT&T SMEs. 5/5 - CLEC duplicate of 83f
					5/15 change to AIR Lori to respond with date for additional documentation
					5/19 – SBC provided date of 6/9/00 to have the documentation provided, AT&T requested to leave status as TA.
55	(55) Ameritech is required to provide corrected specifications for CFA query/response.	AT&T	ЕМО-РО	TA	This is addressed and documented in ESOG Section 4 update to TCNet on April 24, 2000.
		Corecomm	FMO-PO		4/26 - AT&T to review SBC's response with AT&T SMEs.
	(83c) Connecting Facility Assignment (CFA) Inquiry was supposed to be available in April 2000. Final specifications should be attached as an				5/5 – CLEC duplicate to 83c 5/15 change to AIR Lori to respond with date for additional
	The second secon				COCUMENTATION
					5/19 – SBC provided date of 6/9/00 to have the documentation provided, AT&T requested to leave status as TA.
56	(56) Ameritech is required to provide corrected specifications for Feature/Service Availability query/response.	АТ&Т	FMO-PO	TA	This is addressed and documented in ESOG Section 4 update to TCNet on April 24, 2000.
					4/26 - AT&T to review SBC's response with AT&T SMEs. 5/5 - CLEC duplicate of 83f
<u> </u>					5/15 change to AIR Lori to respond with date for additional documentation
					5/19 - SBC provided date of 6/9/00 to have the documentation provided, AT&T requested to leave status as TA
57	Ameritech is required to provide corrected specifications for PIC/LPIC	АТ&Т	FMO-РО	TA	Specifications are available in the ESOG. 4/26 - AT&T to review SRC's response with AT&T SMES.
					5/15 change to AIR Lori to respond with date for additional documentation

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	POR Category	Current Status	SBC Response:
					5/19 – SBC provided date of 6/9/00 to have the documentation provided, AT&T requested to leave status as TA.
61	What use will be made of CLLI inquiry/response information once SBC/Ameritech implements the CLLI inquiry transaction?	AT&T	FMO-PO	AL	CLLI codes are used in several areas of Local ordering specifically in the LST and CFA fields of the Local Service Request. With implementation of LNP and other products such as Charter Number, the relationship of telephone number to switch location is becoming diminished. Therefore this tool is used to obtain the CLLI for the switch location. The rules for requiring CLLI codes based on different products will be reviewed and documented as part of the uniform OSS Business Rules POR process. 5/15 Changed to TA
62	(62) The SBC/Ameritech Plan of Record establishes that the fielded CSR will not be uniform throughout the SBC footprint. What differences will exist that prevent uniformity throughout the SBC area?	AT&T	FMO-РО	TA	Same as #50 5/5 - CLEC duplicate of 50. 5/15 Separated back out of 50
65	Why is SBC Dispatch Inquiry restricted to information about residential services only? What can be retrieved for business services?	АТ&Т	FMO-PO	TA	Dispatch is always required for business services.
66	Will the SBC legacy system (database) provide the same information in all regions relative to the existence of cut-through facilities?	AT&T	FMO-PO	TA	5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.
67	Will the Due Date query/response provide a response for an available date for line/loop quantities greater than one?	AT&T	FMO-PO	TA	5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.
68	What are the planned order detail search criteria for Pending Order Status queries?	АТ&Т	FMO-PO	TA	Still being investigated but will be as broad as possible, will probably include searches by ATN/WTN, Service Order # and CC or CCNA. 5/15 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-
69	What specific information will be provided in response to CLEC queries for pending orders for a specific WTN??	АТ&Т	FMO-PO	TA	A list of applicable service orders should be returned. From this list the CLEC should be able to select a single service order and 'drill down' to the detailed service order.
70	Will SBC make available to CLECs via the Feature/Service Availability query, the ability to retreive the information alphabetically sorted by USOC?	AT&T	FMO-PO	TA	Yes
71	Will SBC make available to CLECs via the Feature/Service Availability query, plain language descriptions of the features and services in addition to the USOCs?	AT&T	FMO-PO	TA	Yes

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	POR	Current	SBC Response:
72	Will the PIC/LPIC query response provide the name of the interexchange carrier, the carrier identification code (CIC), or both?	AT&T	FMO-PQ	TA	5/10 The PIC/LPIC query will return both the interexchange carrier, the carrier identification code (CIC)
75	What end user address standards will SBC incorporate in its LSOG4 design? Will the standards vary by region?	AT&T	FMO-O	TA	Same as # 49 5/5 - CLECs wish to keep separate.
					5/9 – redline language provided in POR sent out 5/8. 5/15 Changed to TA Changed to ordering from pre-ordering
76	What data validation methods can SBC employ other than full address	AT&T	FMO-0	TA	Under investigation
	validation, to ensure a local service request is to be processed for a particular end-user account?				5/9 - With this rule being relaxed, no other means of validation has been identified. The address being entered on a migration request has been the only means to validate that a request is being processed for a particular end-user. SBC will continue to look for a different way to validate that the request is for the correct end user.
77	What process(es) will SBC undertake to verify address information in its	АТ&Т	FMO-PO	TA	Under investigation
	customer record database using the Street Address Guide for reference?				5/9 – This issue should be cross-referenced with 83b and will be addressed with other TA issues. 5/15 Changed to TA
80	FMO Section III.B. (Pre-ordering) Several new pre-ordering functions are to be added to SBC's current EDI interface in the Ameritech region. The pre-ordering functions listed do not include loop qualification, which must be included in order for CLECs to obtain the mechanized, real-time flow through OSS for xDSL that SBC makes available to itself. SBC should clarify what pre-ordering functions it intends to offer in its other regions, as well.	Rhythms	FMO-PO	TA	The addition of the loop qualification function to the current EDI interface in the Ameritech region is described in the FMO section of the Uniform POR.
83	Throughout this section of the POR, SBC indicates that the "uniformity" of various capabilities and functions will be dependent on, or limited by, "differences in source backend systems." However, the mandate this POR was for SBC to develop a uniform set of OSS capabilities, features and systems throughout it's 13-state region based on a "best of breed" approach. SBC must provide further clarification as to exactly how these capabilities will differ, and make a firm commit to make available a fully uniform OSS in compliance with the Merger Conditions order.	Rhythms	FMO-РО	ТА	5/9 – The Business Rules POR exists to address the differences in business rules including those that may exist because of differences in backend systems. 5/16 Parties agreed to change to TA
83a	III. B. PreOrdering	Corecomm	FMO-PO	ΤA	Agreed.

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	POR Category	Current Status	SBC Response:
:	The fist paragraph of this section should be modified to reflected that the interface will be based on LSOG version 4, or "other agreed upon standard," per the 13 state CMP.				5/15 Pending standard determination
83b	Address Validation Inquiry - Currently in the Ameritech Service area it has been documented by Corecomm and other CLECs that there is an inconsistency between the SAG, MSAG, ARF and CDROM address files. This needs to be identified as a PMO problem and a solution needs to be identified in the FMO. The POR contains no reference to the problem nor is a solution suggested. Additionally there is no reference to the parsing of address data on the query response or an indication of whether or not the query response is integrated to the purchase order process.	Corecomm	FMO-PO	ΥL	Under Investigation
83e	Digital Subscriber Loop Qualification Inquiry – The POR should be modified to detail the specifics to be provided by this function, or point to a document containing such specifications. Those specifications should include a query to provide the number of DSL capable loops on a particular switch.	Corecomm	FMO-PO	TA	The specifications identifying functions related to DSL Loop Qualification inquiries is provided for in the Advanced Services POR. Aggregate data related to DSL is discussed in Merger Condition 20b and is not part of this Plan of Record.
83f	(83f) Feature / Service Availability Inquiry and Network Channel / Network Channel Interface (NC/NCI) Inquiry - The POR should either point to the Final Specifications for these functionalities, or the specifications should be included in the Appendices.	Corecomm	FMO-PO	TA	This is addressed and documented in ESOG Section 4 update to TCNet on April 24, 2000. 4/26 AT&T to review SBC's response with AT&T SMEs. 5/5 – CLEC duplicate to 54, 56
	(54) Ameritech is required to provide corrected specifications for NC/NCI query/response	AT&T	FMO-PO		5/19 – SBC provided date of 6/9/00 to have the documentation provided, AT&T requested to leave status as TA.
	(56) Ameritech is required to provide corrected specifications for Feature/Service Availability query/response.				
839	Pending Order Status Inquiry — Additional detail should be added for this feature.	Corecomm	FMO-PO	TA	5/9 SBC will provide additional detail by enabling CLECs to view their own Service Orders. 5/19 – Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I- TV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.
84	What processes will Ameritech employ to provide CLECs with directory listing information that relates to listings published for UNE-Loop end users?	AT&T	FMO-PO- DA Listings	TA	5/10/00 SBC is willing to discuss alternatives to the present method for directory listings. SBC is open to exploring workable solutions to meet the CLECs needs.
					5/19 – SBC now understands that the issue is related to querying exiting listings related to a stand alone loop.

97 Provi	96 Provi proce SBC	95 What servi	94 What on or	90 The a	88 Imple "enh: abou adeq	86 For the imple Page will b	85 For the imple Page		# Issue
Provide a process flow chart that reflects the system and/or manual processing of a valid LSR for UNE-L 2-wire analog service order	Provide a process flow chart that reflects the system and/or manual processing of a valid LSR for UNE-P POTS service order through the SBC systems. For each region, provide any unique processing.	What use does SBC make of a CLEC-supplied CLLI code for UNE local service requests?	What order types will SBC provide for UNE-P orders? What limitations on order types will SBC determine on a state-specific basis?	The ordering section must include a list of order types that do not flow through mechanically, but require manual intervention. It must also include why manual intervention is necessary and plans to enhance flow through in the future.	Implementation of the GUI access to order functions is listed as an "enhanced" version of LEX. SBC/Ameritech must be more specific about the enhancements. More detail is necessary to determine if this adequately meets the needs of the CLEC community.	For the Directory Listing query that SBC/Ameritech intends to implement, what information will be made available regarding Yellow Pages directory information? What Heading and Section information will be available?	For the Directory Listing query that SBC/Ameritech intends to implement, what information will be made available regarding. White Pages directory information?		
АТ&Т	АТ&Т	АТ&Т	АТ&Т	MCIW	MCIW	АТ&Т	AT&T		CLEC(s)
FMO - 0	FMO – O	FMO – 0	FMO - 0	FMO - 0	FMO - 0	FMO-PO- DA Listings	FMO-PO- DA Listings	on cycl.	POR
TA	TA	TA	TA	ТА	ТА	TA	TA	C and a second	Current
SBC will provide the details of current flow through and manual intervention and the subjects can be discussed. 5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-	SBC will provide the details of current flow through and manual intervention and the subjects can be discussed. 5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	Identifies the CLLI code of the end office switch from which service is being requested as an LST or part of the CFA for hicap facilities (in accordance with OBF fields). 5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	5/19 – Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue. The information to be provided relates to REC and Activity types for UNE-P.	SBC will provide the details of current flow through and manual intervention and the subjects can be discussed. 5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	The word enhanced was chosen because the technology is changing from Client Service to web based and increasing the product scope to 13 states. No LEX functionality will be lost. Further details will be provided as requirements are finalized. 5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	5/10 New language added from ATT 5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	Primarily this is an Ameritech issue, but need to understand how this operates in each region. Parties agree to change to TA.	SBC Response:

99		98 \		#
What parts of the Pacific Bell UNE-Loop provisioning process cannot be implemented by Ameritech?	orders received manually (via fax)?	te electronic completion notices for	processing.	Issue
АТ&Т		АТ&Т		CLEC(s)
FMO - 0		FMO - 0		POR Category
TA		TΑ		Current Status
5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I- IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	5/19 - Parties agree to TA in that data provided in Category I-IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	See #133 for similar issue. Not CD duplicate.	IV data will provide the detail to resolve the issue.	SBC Response:

KEY:

CD - Closed Duplicate/Related

CA - Closed Agreed

RPA - Responded Pending Agreement

PCA - Pending CLEC Action

NR - New Response (to be shared)

AIR - Additional Information Requested

UI - Under Investigation

TA = Tentatively Abated - To be answered and agreed through the course of SBC providing and discussing information as provided for in Section X of the implementation phase of the Plan of Record and its associated timeline.

PL - Pending Language

DO - Disagree open - The parties agree to disagree.